

REMARKS

Favorable reconsideration is respectfully requested. Claims 8 and 9 are now before the Examiner. Claim 8 has been written into independent form, and claim 9 depends from claim 8.

Original claims 8 and 9 were rejected as indefinite under 35 U.S.C. § 112. However, the Examiner indicated that claims 8 and 9 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome that rejection. Hence, as indicated on the amended claim 8, claim 1 from which claim 8 depended, has been amended to avoid the indefiniteness rejection and is now believed to be in allowable condition.

The remaining claims have been cancelled so that applicant submits that the application is now in condition for passage to issue. Applicant submits that the revisions made to claims 8 and 9 are sufficient. However, applicant's counsel respectfully requests the Examiner to call him in the event that it appears that additional word changes would be helpful to clarify the indefiniteness cited by the Examiner.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: February 15, 2005

By: Bernard J. Canfor
Bernard J. Canfor, Reg. No. 17,336

HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.
P.O. Box 828
Bloomfield Hills, Michigan 48303
(248) 641-1600

BJC/tp